

# 2008 Integrated Energy Policy Report Update

**Suzanne Korosec** 

**November 20, 2008** 



## **2008 IEPR Topics**

- 1. Moving to a higher renewables future
- 2. Energy efficiency and the CEC's demand forecast (2007 IEPR)
- 3. Improving electricity procurement (2007 IEPR)
- 4. Nuclear plant vulnerability to seismic/aging issues (AB 1632)
- 5. Evaluation of CPUC's Self-Generation Incentive Program (AB 2778)
- 6. Progress report on past recommendations



## Chapter 1: California's Renewable Future

- Major barriers to higher levels of renewables:
  - Transmission
  - Integration
  - Contract delays/cancellations
  - Cost/rate impacts
  - Environmental permitting



## **Chapter 1 Recommendations**

 CEC should identify ways to reduce obstacles to joint transmission projects between IOUs and POUs; state should increase transmission-related R&D funding



## **Chapter 1 Recommendations**

 2009 IEPR should identify amount and location of new fossil generation needed; CEC should work with CAISO to understand amount of ramping/regulation needed to support 33% renewables



## **Chapter 1 Recommendations**

RPS procurement proposals should be reviewed, selected, and ranked by independent parties, not IOUs, if a utility plans to build or purchase its own generating facilities; CPUC should immediately implement feed-in tariff for facilities 20 MW and smaller



## **Chapter 1 Recommendations**

 CEC should work with parties and CPUC to estimate potential cost impacts of 33% target



## **Chapter 1 Recommendations**

 CEC should continue working within RETI and with DOE and BLM on environmental issues; CPUC should direct IOUs to consider potential delays from land use and environmental issues when selecting RPS contracts



## Chapter 2: Efficiency and Demand Forecast

- 2007 IEPR identified need for proper accounting of efficiency and other savings impacts in CEC demand forecast
- CEC undertaking major effort to update and improve methods in forecasting efficiency savings with assistance of CPUC/Itron
- Preliminary forecast to be released in February 2009 which will include improvements in forecasting methods
- Progress report toward efficiency goals



## **Chapter 2 Recommendations**

 CEC should analyze relationship between efficiency impacts in forecast and efficiency impacts assumed in program planning to address potential overlap



## **Chapter 2 Recommendations**

 Continue efforts through CEC working group to improve demand forecast during the 2009 IEPR cycle



## **Chapter 2 Recommendations**

 Continue independent efforts on evaluating alternative forecasting methods in the 2009 IEPR



## **Chapter 2 Recommendations**

CEC should continue to work with POUs to understand how they estimate their remaining energy efficiency economic potential and set targets; to identify all funding sources available to meet energy efficiency goals; and to assist them in achieving their efficiency goals through workshops and collaborative efforts



## **Chapter 3: Electricity Procurement**

- Progress toward 2007 IEPR
  recommendations to use common
  assumptions, reflect risk, use 20- to 30 year analysis period, incorporate
  environmental impacts and risks, and
  discount future fuel costs at a social
  discount rate
- Improving the procurement process
- Aging and once-through cooling plants



## **Chapter 3 Recommendations**

 CEC should continue collaborating in CPUC's LTPP proceeding; 2009 IEPR should assess long-run uncertainties related to electricity demand and natural gas prices and supply; social discount rates should not be used but subject should be revisited



## **Chapter 3 Recommendations**

 Evaluate impacts of relying on OTC and aging plants; better understand interaction of OTC/aging plants and adding renewables; evaluate system stability and the need to upgrade transmission to allow renewables to replace OTC plants



## **Chapter 3 Recommendations**

- Procurement principles:
  - Fair, objective, and transparent; independent parties review, select, and rank bids
  - Considers environmental impacts, likelihood of getting permits, and prior bidder success
  - Open to all bidders including utilities
  - Avoids unnecessary costs that discourage market participants
  - Identifies how bid evaluation considers projects already permitted
  - Protects commercially competitive information



## **Chapter 4: Nuclear Assessment**

- AB 1632 requires evaluation of vulnerability of nuclear plants to disruption due to seismic issues or aging
- Diablo Canyon and SONGS 12% of state's electricity supply - disruption could affect system reliability, public safety, and economy
- Also looked at waste storage and disposal, replacement power, relicensing issues
- Assessment done by MRW & Associates



## **Chapter 4 Recommendations**

PG&E and SCE should report to CEC in future IEPRs on research efforts into seismic and tsunami hazards; how plants comply with current building codes and seismic design standards; progress in returning to open racking arrangements in spent fuel pools



## **Chapter 4 Recommendations**

 CEC should work with CPUC to develop plan for reviewing the costs and benefits of nuclear plant license extensions, scope of evaluation, and the criteria for assessment



## **Chapter 5: SGIP Evaluation**

- AB 2778 requires CEC to evaluate costs and benefits of expanding Self-Generation Incentive Program to include renewable and fossil DG
- TIAX, LLC conducted evaluation using data provided by IOUs
- Looked at environmental, macroeconomic, and grid impacts



## **Chapter 5 Recommendations**

 Eligibility for SGIP should be based on the overall efficiency and performance of systems, regardless of fuel type



## **Chapter 5 Recommendations**

 CPUC should consider reinstituting formerly eligible engine and turbine technologies that operate on nonrenewable and renewable fuels



## **Chapter 5 Recommendations**

 CPUC should require IOUs to procure DG or CHP in areas that provide locational benefits to system



## **Chapter 5 - Errata**

 Added language to clarify that "ultra clean and low emission" fossil DG should be eligible for the SGIP, as well as renewable DG



## **Chapter 6: Progress Report**

- Evaluated 45 recommendations from 2005, 2006 and 2007 IEPRs
- Substantial progress in efficiency and transmission, some progress in procurement
- Generally on-track in demand response, natural gas, transportation, petroleum infrastructure, nuclear, and water/energy
- Need improvement in procurement, renewables, some land use and distribution system



# **Questions and Public Comment**